

A Systematic Review on the Impact of Various Interventions in Autism Spectrum Disorder

Shivangi Choudhary*, Aishwarya Rai, Jasmine Anandabai, Uzma Khan

ABSTRACT

A comprehensive analysis was carried out to assess the effects of different therapies on autism spectrum disorder (ASD). ASD is a neurodevelopmental disease that is typified by confined, repetitive behaviours and difficulties with social communication. The information from significant research and randomised controlled trials is compiled in this review to give a thorough summary of therapy approaches for ASD. The Early Start Denver Model (ESDM), Picture Exchange Communication System (PECS), and naturalistic developmental behavioural interventions (NDBIs) are some of the interventions that are discussed in this review. The results indicate that improving behavioural, developmental, and communication outcomes in people with ASD requires early intervention, customised strategies, and evidence-based methods. This review seeks to inform clinical practice, research, and policy creation in autism intervention and therapy support by offering an updated synthesis of the evidence.

Keywords: Autism Spectrum Disorder, Early Start Denver Model, Picture Exchange Communication System, Naturalistic Developmental Behavioral Interventions, Early Intervention, Behavioral Therapy, Communication Skills, Systematic Review

Asian Pac. J. Health Sci., (2024); DOI: 10.21276/apjhs.2024.11.2.04

INTRODUCTION

A neurodevelopmental disorder known as autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is characterized by limited, repetitive patterns of behavior, interests, or activities, as well as difficulties in social communication and engagement. It includes a wide spectrum of symptoms and intensities, resulting in major obstacles in many areas of functioning. Over the past few decades, the frequency of ASD has been gradually rising; according to current estimates, 1 in 54 children in the US has an ASD diagnosis.^[1]

According to the ranged characteristics of ASD, there is considerable variation in the methods and efficacy of interventions aimed at addressing its core symptoms and related challenges. Numerous interventions have been developed in the field of autism research with the goal of improving the quality of life and reducing symptoms for people with ASD and their families. These interventions cover a wide range of modalities, including psychological, educational, cognitive, behavioral, and developmental techniques.^[2]

By integrating a number of important research and papers in the area, this systematic review seeks to offer a thorough overview of therapies for ASD. Through a comprehensive synthesis of information from comprehensive syntheses, systematic reviews, and randomized controlled trials, this study aims to clarify the efficacy of different therapies across several domains of functioning for individuals with ASD.^[3]

A potential early intervention strategy for toddlers with autism is the Early Start Denver Model (ESDM), which was assessed by Dawson *et al.* (2010).^[1] With an emphasis on early intervention as a means of optimizing outcomes for children with ASD, this approach integrates developmental and behavioral methods within a naturalistic, play-based framework.

One of the main characteristics of ASD is language impairment, and treating this issue requires interventions aimed at improving communication skills. In their 2008 study, Kasari *et al.* compare play and joint attention therapies, providing insight into practical methods for enhancing language outcomes in kids

Department of Physiotherapy, Jyotirao Phule Subharti College of Physiotherapy, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India.

Corresponding Author: Shivangi Choudhary, Jyotirao Phule Subharti College of Physiotherapy, Subhartipuram, NH-58, Delhi-Haridwar Bypass Road, Meerut - 250 005, Uttar Pradesh, India. E-mail: raiaishwarya777@gmail.com

How to cite this article: Choudhary S, Rai A, Anandabai J, Khan U. A Systematic Review on the Impact of Various Interventions in Autism Spectrum Disorder. *Asian Pac. J. Health Sci.*, 2024;11(2):21-24.

Source of support: Nil.

Conflicts of interest: None.

Received: 11/04/2024 **Revised:** 17/05/2024 **Accepted:** 25/05/2024

with ASD.^[2] Furthermore, Howlin *et al.* (2007)'s evaluation of the Picture Exchange Communication System (PECS) shows it to be an effective tool for promoting communication skills in people with ASD, especially in school settings.^[5]

The National Autism Centre (2009) provides a thorough synthesis of ASD interventions, providing insightful information on evidence-based practices and implementation recommendations for interventions.^[3] This adds to the review. In addition, Charman *et al.* (2011) offer insightful information about the connection between IQ and ASD, emphasizing how crucial it is to take cognitive functioning into account when designing and implementing interventions.^[4]

The behavioral difficulties linked to ASD are still largely addressed by behavioral therapies, as those developed by Lovaas (1987) and summarized by Reichow and Wolery (2009).^[6] With organized, methodical techniques, these therapies aim to change maladaptive behaviors and foster adaptive abilities.

By emphasizing core symptoms and developmental delays during crucial stages of neurodevelopment, early intensive interventions for ASD, as reviewed by Warren *et al.* (2011), show the potential to improve outcomes for children with ASD.^[7] Nonetheless, as noted by Lord *et al.* (2005), difficulties in assessing the effectiveness

of psychological therapies underline the necessity for stringent research procedures and outcome measures in this field.^[8]

A modern method of managing the fundamental deficiencies of ASD in realistic, daily circumstances is represented by naturalistic developmental behavioral therapies, as described by Schreibman *et al.* (2015).^[9] These interventions place an emphasis on tailored, child-oriented strategies that make use of the child's strengths and interests to foster significant skill development and generalization.

In a nutshell, the objective of this systematic review is to amalgamate the existing body of data about therapies for ASD, which comprise a wide variety of methods designed to cater to the intricate requirements of affected individuals. This study aims to advance clinical practice, research, and policy in the area of autism intervention and therapy by analyzing the efficacy of various approaches in several domains of functioning.

METHOD AND OUTCOME MEASURES

Using the Early Start Denver Model, Dawson *et al.* (2010) examined children with ASD and discovered notable advancements in their social communication and language development.^[1]

When Kasari *et al.* (2008) concentrated on play and joint attention therapies for kids with ASD, they saw a noticeable increase in language outcomes.^[2] The National Autism Centre (2009) found compelling evidence to support the effectiveness of several therapies for enhancing behavioural outcomes in children with ASD.^[3] Children with ASD had IQ scores evaluated by Charman *et al.* (2011), who found variation in the kids' scores.^[4] Howlin *et al.* (2007) examined how training in the Picture Exchange Communication System (PECS) improved the communication abilities of teachers of children with ASD.^[5] Lord *et al.* (2005) reviewed psychosocial interventions for children with ASD and highlighted challenges in evaluating these interventions due to heterogeneity in outcomes.^[8] Reichow and Wolery (2009) evaluated early intensive behavioural interventions (EIBI) in young children with ASD and found that EIBI based on the UCLA Young Autism Project model showed positive effects on developmental outcomes.^[6] Warren *et al.* (2011)

examined early intensive interventions for children with ASD and observed benefits for developmental outcomes.^[7] Schreibman *et al.* (2015) studied naturalistic developmental behavioural interventions (NDBIs) for children with ASD and showed promise in improving behavioural outcomes.^[9]

Results

The overall results from the variety of research and reviews show how distinct the landscape of ASD interventions is and how they affect different outcomes. For example, Dawson *et al.* (2010) showed how the ESDM significantly improved language development and social communication skills in toddlers with ASD, highlighting the effectiveness of early intervention strategies.^[1] Kasari *et al.* (2008) also highlighted the significance of joint attention and play interventions in improving language outcomes among children with ASD,^[2] emphasizing the critical role of early social communication interventions.

The 2009 evaluation by the National Autism Centre found therapies with strong evidence for enhancing behavioral outcomes in kids with ASD, highlighting the significance of evidence-based approaches in successfully resolving behavioral issues.^[3] The disparity in IQ scores between children with ASD was highlighted by Charman *et al.* (2011), highlighting the need for tailored interventions that take individual cognitive profiles into consideration.^[4] Howlin *et al.* (2007) demonstrated how training using the PECS can improve communication skills in children with ASD by offering an organized method for developing expressive and receptive language skills.^[5]

Reichow and Wolery (2009) emphasized the importance of early intervention in fostering developmental growth and provided more evidence for the beneficial benefits of early intensive behavioral interventions based on the UCLA Young Autism Project paradigm.^[6] The advantages of early, intensive therapies for ASD were reaffirmed by Warren *et al.* (2011), who showed how these interventions improved developmental outcomes in a number of categories.^[7] However, because ASD is a heterogeneous illness with a wide range of outcomes addressed

Study/Review	Participants	Intervention	Outcome Measures	Results
Dawson <i>et al.</i> (2010)	Toddlers with ASD	Early Start Denver Model	Language development, social communication	Significant improvements in language and social communication skills
Kasari <i>et al.</i> (2008)	Children with ASD	Joint attention and play interventions	Language outcome	Joint attention intervention showed significant improvement in language outcomes
National Autism Center (2009)	Children with ASD	Various interventions	Behavioral outcomes	Identified interventions with strong evidence for improving behavioral outcomes
Charman <i>et al.</i> (2011)	Children with ASD	IQ assessment	IQ scores	Heterogeneity in IQ scores among children with ASD
Howlin <i>et al.</i> (2007)	Teachers of children with ASD	Picture Exchange Communication System (PECS) training	Communication skills	PECS training led to improvements in communication skills
Reichow and Wolery (2009)	Young children with ASD	Early intensive behavioral interventions	Developmental outcomes	EIBI based on the UCLA Young Autism Project model showed positive effects on developmental outcomes
Warren <i>et al.</i> (2011)	Children with ASD	Early intensive intervention	Developmental outcomes	Early intensive intervention showed benefits for developmental outcomes
Lord <i>et al.</i> (2005)	Children with ASD	Psychosocial interventions	Various outcomes	Challenges in evaluating psychosocial interventions due to heterogeneity
Schreibman <i>et al.</i> (2015)	Children with ASD	Naturalistic developmental behavioral interventions	Behavioral outcomes	NDBIs showed promise in improving behavioral outcomes

by various interventions, Lord *et al.* (2005) explained the difficulties in evaluating psychosocial interventions for ASD.^[8]

To support skill acquisition and generalization, Schreibman *et al.* (2015) provided encouraging results about the effectiveness of Naturalistic Developmental Behavioral Interventions in enhancing behavioral outcomes in children with ASD.^[9] They emphasized the significance of incorporating evidence-based strategies within naturalistic contexts. All things considered, these studies highlight the significance of early intervention, tailored strategies, and evidence-based approaches in meeting the various needs of people with ASD, with an emphasis on enhancing behavioral, developmental, language, and other developmental outcomes to improve overall quality of life.

DISCUSSION

The amalgamation of results from the chosen research and reports provides significant perspectives on the efficacy of diverse strategies aimed at treating ASD. The effectiveness of early interventions, the value of individualized approaches, and the difficulties in evaluating psychological interventions are some of the major topics that have emerged from the literature and will be the subject of this discussion.

Early Intervention

According to Dawson *et al.* (2010)'s evaluation, the ESDM shows promise as an early intervention for toddlers with ASD. This all-encompassing strategy emphasizes the value of early intervention in maximizing outcomes for kids with ASD by integrating behavioral and developmental techniques within realistic, play-based environments. In a similar vein, Warren *et al.* (2011) offer proof of the efficacy of early, intensive therapies for ASD, emphasizing the possible advantages of focusing on developmental delays and core symptoms during crucial stages of neurodevelopment. These results highlight how crucial early detection and intervention are to reducing the long-term effects of ASD on people's functioning and quality of life.

Individualized Approaches

A recurring motif in all of the interventions that have been studied is the importance of tailored, individual approaches that take into account the unique needs and talents of people with ASD. Howlin *et al.*'s study of the Picture Exchange Communication System (PECS) serves as an example of this strategy (2007). For kids with ASD, PECS offers an adaptable yet regulated framework to improve communication abilities. Similar to this, Schreibman *et al.* (2015) characterise child-centered, tailored treatments that capitalise on the child's interests and skills as naturalistic developmental behavioural therapy. These approaches heighten involvement and foster significant skill acquisition and generalisation by incorporating the child's choices and motivations into therapeutic activities. Additionally, when developing and putting into practice. By adjusting techniques to the unique cognitive profile of each patient, practitioners can increase the efficacy and relevance of therapies for children diagnosed with ASD.

Challenges in Evaluating Psychosocial Intervention

Evaluating the efficacy of psychosocial therapies for ASD presents significant problems despite the encouraging results of numerous

interventions. Lord *et al.* (2005) draw attention to a number of issues related to methodology, especially the heterogeneity of ASD, the unpredictability of outcome measures, and the requirement for robust research procedures, that make evaluating psychosocial therapies more difficult. The complexity of ASD and the wide range of unique features and preferences render it difficult to find conventional outcome measures that effectively represent the breadth of treatment outcomes. Furthermore, Reichow and Wolery (2009) stress the significance of thorough synthesis and systematic reviews in assessing the body of data supporting early, intensive behavioral therapies. Researchers can inform future research paths and clinical practice by identifying commonalities and inconsistencies in intervention effects by synthesizing information from multiple studies.

When summed up, the amalgamation of results from the analyzed research and documentation reveals significant perspectives on the efficacy of interventions intended for individuals with ASD. Early therapies that target core symptoms and developmental delays during crucial stages of neurodevelopment, such as the ESDM and early intensive therapy, show promise in improving outcomes for children with ASD. Personalized strategies that adjust interventions to the special needs and strengths of people with ASD are also necessary to provide significant skill development and generalization. Nevertheless, challenges associated with assessing psychological interventions such as methodological problems and variation in outcome measures highlight the necessity of more study and improvement in this field. Through tackling these obstacles and expanding on the current body of knowledge, scholars and professionals can further improve the efficacy and pertinence of therapies for people with ASD.

CONCLUSION

To summarize, early intensive behavioral therapies, communication-based therapy, and naturalistic developmental approaches are effective interventions for children with ASD, according to the results given in this systematic review. When these therapies are used, the behavioral functioning, developmental trajectories, and language development of children with ASD have all demonstrated potential. More research is required to better understand the mechanisms driving treatment outcomes and to direct the development of more specialized and customized therapies for individuals with ASD.

REFERENCES

1. Dawson G, Rogers S, Munson J, Smith M, Winter J, Greenson J, *et al.* Randomized, controlled trial of an intervention for toddlers with autism: The early start denver model. *Pediatrics* 2010;125:e17-23.
2. Kasari C, Freeman S, Paparella T, Jahromi LB. Language outcome in autism: Randomized comparison of joint attention and play interventions. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 2008;76:125.
3. National Autism Center. National Standards Project: Findings and Conclusions. Randolph, MA: National Autism Center; 2009.
4. Charman T, Pickles A, Simonoff E, Chandler S, Loucas T, Baird G. IQ in children with autism spectrum disorders: Data from the special needs and autism project (SNAP). *Psychol Med* 2011;41:619-27.
5. Howlin P, Gordon RK, Pasco G, Wade A, Charman T. The effectiveness of picture exchange communication system (PECS) training for teachers of children with autism: A pragmatic, group randomised controlled trial. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 2007;48:473-81.
6. Lovaas OI. Behavioral treatment and normal educational and

- intellectual functioning in young autistic children. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 1987;55:3-9.
7. Reichow B, Wolery M. Comprehensive synthesis of early intensive behavioral interventions for young children with autism based on the UCLA young autism project model. *J Autism Developmental Disord* 2009;39:23-41.
 8. Warren Z, McPheeters ML, Sathe N, Foss-Feig JH, Glasser A, Veenstra-Vanderweele, J. A systematic review of early intensive intervention for autism spectrum disorders. *Pediatrics* 2011;127:e1303-11.
 9. Lord C, Wagner A, Rogers S, Szatmari P, Aman M, Charman T, *et al.* Challenges in evaluating psychosocial interventions for autistic spectrum disorders. *J Autism Dev Disord* 2005;35:695-708.
 10. Schreibman L, Dawson G, Stahmer AC, Landa R, Rogers SJ, McGee GG, *et al.* Naturalistic developmental behavioral interventions: Empirically validated treatments for autism spectrum disorder. *J Autism Dev Disord* 2015;45:2411-28.