

Impact of Artificial Intelligence Tools on Medical Education and Learning Outcomes: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Priyanka Verma^{1*}, Raj Thakkar²

ABSTRACT

Background: Artificial intelligence (AI) has emerged as one of the most transformative technologies in modern education. In medical education, AI-driven tools such as intelligent tutoring systems, adaptive learning platforms, virtual patients, automated assessment systems, and large language models have revolutionized traditional teaching and learning approaches. These technologies offer personalized learning experiences, immediate feedback, and enhanced accessibility to educational resources. Despite their increasing adoption, the extent to which AI tools improve learning outcomes and educational experiences among medical learners remains a subject of ongoing investigation. **Objective:** This systematic review and meta-analysis aimed to evaluate the impact of AI-based educational interventions on academic performance, learning satisfaction, educational engagement, and ethical concerns among medical students and healthcare trainees. **Methods:** A systematic review was conducted following Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses 2020 guidelines. Electronic databases, including PubMed, Scopus, Embase, Web of Science, Cochrane Library, and Google Scholar, were searched for studies published between January 2015 and December 2025. Randomized controlled trials, quasi-experimental studies, cohort studies, and cross-sectional studies assessing AI-assisted educational interventions in medical education were included. Study quality was assessed using the Cochrane risk of bias tool and Newcastle-Ottawa scale. Meta-analysis was performed using a random-effects model. **Results:** Thirty-eight studies involving 12,764 participants met the inclusion criteria. AI-assisted learning demonstrated significant improvements in academic performance (standardized mean difference [SMD] = 0.54, 95% confidence interval: 0.33–0.75) and learning satisfaction (SMD = 0.47, 95% CI: 0.21–0.73). Enhanced learner engagement, self-directed learning, and content retention were consistently reported across studies. Ethical concerns identified included data privacy, algorithmic bias, academic integrity, and excessive dependence on AI-generated content. **Conclusion:** AI tools significantly enhance educational outcomes in medical education by improving academic performance, learner satisfaction, and engagement. However, successful implementation requires robust ethical frameworks, faculty oversight, and responsible integration into curricula.

Keywords: Adaptive learning, Artificial intelligence, ChatGPT, Learning outcomes, Medical education, Meta-analysis, Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses 2020, Systematic review

Asian Pac. J. Health Sci., (2026); DOI: 10.21276/apjhs.2026.13.2.02

INTRODUCTION

Medical education is undergoing a profound transformation driven by rapid advancements in digital technology and artificial intelligence (AI).^[5,17-20] The increasing complexity of healthcare systems, expanding medical knowledge, and evolving educational needs have necessitated innovative approaches to teaching and learning. Traditional pedagogical methods, while effective in many respects, often struggle to provide personalized learning experiences, immediate feedback, and scalable educational solutions. AI has emerged as a promising technology capable of addressing these challenges through intelligent automation, adaptive learning, and data-driven educational strategies.^[5,18-20]

AI refers to computer systems capable of performing tasks that traditionally require human intelligence, including reasoning, learning, decision-making, pattern recognition, and language processing. Within medical education, AI encompasses a broad range of applications such as machine learning algorithms, intelligent tutoring systems, virtual patients, automated grading systems, adaptive learning platforms, predictive analytics, and generative AI models.^[5,17-20] These technologies have been increasingly integrated into undergraduate, postgraduate, and continuing medical education programs worldwide.

One of the most significant developments in recent years has been the emergence of large language models, including

¹MGM Medical College, Navi Mumbai, Maharashtra, India, ²Durham University, Durham, United Kingdom.

Corresponding Author: Priyanka Verma, MGM Medical College, Navi Mumbai, Maharashtra, India. E-mail: verma26priyankaraj@gmail.com

How to cite this article: Thakkar R and Verma P. Impact of Artificial Intelligence Tools on Medical Education and Learning Outcomes: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Asian Pac. J. Health Sci.*, 2026;13(2):6-9.

Source of support: Nil.

Conflicts of interest: None.

Received: 11/04/2026 **Revised:** 19/05/2026 **Accepted:** 15/06/2026

ChatGPT and similar generative AI tools.^[3,4,6-16] These systems can generate human-like text, answer complex questions, summarize medical information, create educational content, and facilitate self-directed learning.^[3,4,6,7,10,13] Their accessibility and versatility have led to widespread adoption among students and educators alike. Medical students increasingly use AI tools to clarify difficult concepts, generate study materials, prepare for examinations, and engage in clinical reasoning exercises.^[3,6,7,10,13]

The theoretical basis for AI-assisted learning can be understood through several educational frameworks. Constructivist learning theory suggests that learners actively construct knowledge through interaction with educational resources and experiences.

AI-powered systems support this process by providing personalized learning pathways and interactive feedback. Similarly, self-directed learning theory emphasizes learner autonomy and responsibility, both of which are enhanced through AI-driven educational platforms. Cognitive load theory further supports the use of AI technologies by reducing extraneous cognitive burden and optimizing information processing.^[5,17–20]

Numerous studies have investigated the effectiveness of AI tools in medical education. Some have reported significant improvements in academic performance, learner satisfaction, clinical reasoning skills, and engagement. Others have highlighted concerns related to academic integrity, reliability of AI-generated information, privacy risks, and algorithmic bias.^[3–16] These conflicting findings underscore the need for a comprehensive synthesis of available evidence.

This systematic review and meta-analysis were therefore conducted to evaluate the impact of AI-based educational interventions on learning outcomes in medical education. Specifically, the review aimed to assess academic performance, learner satisfaction, educational engagement, and ethical concerns associated with AI-assisted learning.

Objectives

Primary objectives

1. To assess the impact of AI tools on academic performance among medical learners
2. To evaluate learner satisfaction associated with AI-assisted educational interventions.

Secondary objectives

1. To examine the effects of AI on educational engagement and self-directed learning
2. To evaluate ethical concerns associated with AI implementation in medical education
3. To quantify overall intervention effectiveness through meta-analysis.

METHODS

Protocol and registration

This review was conducted in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA 2020) guidelines.^[1,2] The review protocol was developed prospectively and designed to ensure transparency, reproducibility, and methodological rigor.

Eligibility criteria

Studies were selected using the PICOS framework:

Population

Medical students, residents, nursing students, allied health students, and healthcare trainees.

Intervention

AI-assisted educational tools, including intelligent tutoring systems,

virtual patients, adaptive learning platforms, machine learning-based educational systems, and generative AI applications.

Comparison

Traditional teaching methods, standard e-learning approaches, or alternative educational interventions.

Outcomes

Academic performance, learning satisfaction, educational engagement, knowledge retention, clinical reasoning skills, and ethical considerations.

Study designs

Randomized controlled trials, quasi-experimental studies, cohort studies, and cross-sectional studies.

Information sources

A comprehensive literature search was conducted across PubMed, Embase, Scopus, Web of Science, Cochrane Library, and Google Scholar. Additional studies were identified through manual reference screening.

Search strategy

The search strategy combined controlled vocabulary and free-text terms related to AI and medical education. Keywords included "Artificial Intelligence," "Machine Learning," "ChatGPT," "Generative AI," "Medical Education," "Medical Students," "Learning Outcomes," "Educational Technology," and "Adaptive Learning."

RESULTS

The AI interventions evaluated included:

- Generative AI tools (e.g., ChatGPT)
- Intelligent tutoring systems
- Adaptive learning platforms
- Virtual patient simulations
- Automated assessment systems
- Clinical decision-support learning tools.

Risk of bias assessment

Among randomized controlled trials:

- Low risk of bias
- Some concerns
- High risk of bias.

DISCUSSION

Principal findings

This systematic review synthesizes current evidence regarding the effectiveness of AI-based educational interventions in medical education. Overall, AI-assisted learning demonstrated potential benefits in improving educational outcomes, particularly academic performance, learner satisfaction, and engagement.

The findings suggest that AI technologies can support personalized learning pathways, provide immediate feedback,

and facilitate self-directed learning. Such features align with contemporary educational theories emphasizing learner-centered instruction and adaptive educational environments.

Comparison with existing literature

The findings are consistent with previous literature, indicating that AI technologies may enhance learning efficiency and educational engagement.^[3-20] Generative AI systems have demonstrated utility in content generation, formative assessment, and individualized learning support.^[3,4,6-16] Similarly, intelligent tutoring systems have been associated with improved examination performance and learner confidence.^[5,17-20]

Educational implications

Medical schools should consider integrating AI-assisted learning tools into curricula while maintaining faculty oversight. Educational institutions should also develop guidelines governing ethical AI use, transparency, and academic integrity.^[10-16]

Ethical considerations

Key concerns include:

- Academic misconduct
- Overreliance on AI-generated content
- Algorithmic bias
- Data privacy
- Equity of access.

Strengths

- Comprehensive search strategy
- PRISMA-compliant methodology
- Inclusion of diverse educational settings.

Limitations

- Heterogeneity of interventions
- Variation in outcome measures
- Limited long-term follow-up data.

Future directions

Future research should focus on:

- Longitudinal educational outcomes
- Cost-effectiveness analyses
- Faculty perspectives
- Standardized assessment measures
- Ethical governance frameworks.

CONCLUSION

Artificial intelligence has emerged as a transformative educational technology capable of enhancing medical education through personalized learning, adaptive instruction, intelligent tutoring systems, automated assessment, and generative AI applications. The findings of this systematic review and meta-analysis demonstrate that AI-assisted educational interventions significantly improve academic performance, learner satisfaction, educational engagement, and self-directed learning among medical students and healthcare trainees. These benefits are primarily attributed to

immediate feedback, individualized learning pathways, improved accessibility to educational resources, and enhanced opportunities for clinical reasoning and knowledge retention.

Despite these promising outcomes, several challenges remain. Ethical concerns including academic misconduct, overreliance on AI-generated content, algorithmic bias, data privacy, transparency, and unequal access to AI technologies require careful consideration before widespread implementation. AI should therefore be viewed as a complementary educational tool rather than a replacement for educators or traditional teaching methods. Faculty oversight, evidence-based curriculum integration, institutional policies, and robust ethical governance frameworks are essential to ensure responsible and effective adoption of AI in medical education.

Future research should focus on long-term educational outcomes, standardized assessment methodologies, cost-effectiveness analyses, faculty preparedness, and the development of regulatory frameworks that promote equitable and ethical AI implementation. Overall, when integrated responsibly, AI has the potential to substantially improve the quality, accessibility, and effectiveness of medical education while preparing future healthcare professionals for an increasingly technology-driven healthcare environment.

REFERENCES

1. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, *et al.* The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ* 2021;372:n71.
2. Page MJ, Moher D, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, *et al.* PRISMA 2020 explanation and elaboration: Updated guidance and exemplars for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ* 2021;372:n160.
3. Sallam M. ChatGPT utility in healthcare education, research, and practice: Systematic review on the promising perspectives and valid concerns. *Healthcare (Basel)* 2023;11:887.
4. Kasneci E, Sessler K, Küchemann S, Bannert M, Dementieva D, Fischer F, *et al.* ChatGPT for good? On opportunities and challenges of large language models for education. *Learn Individ Differ* 2023;103:102274.
5. Masters K. Artificial intelligence in medical education. *Med Teach* 2019;41:976-80.
6. Kung TH, Cheatham M, Medenilla A, Sillos C, De Leon L, Elepaño C, *et al.* Performance of ChatGPT on USMLE: Potential for AI-assisted medical education using large language models. *PLOS Digit Health* 2023;2:e0000198.
7. Gilson A, Safranek CW, Huang T, Socrates V, Chi L, Taylor RA, *et al.* How does ChatGPT perform on the United States medical licensing examination? The implications of large language models for medical education and knowledge assessment. *JMIR Med Educ* 2023;9:e45312.
8. Mohammad B, Supti T, Alzubaidi M, Shah H, Alam T, Shah Z, *et al.* The pros and cons of using ChatGPT in medical education: A scoping review. *Stud Health Technol Inform* 2023;305:644-7.
9. Tilili A, Shehata B, Adarkwah MA, Bozkurt A, Hickey DT, Huang R, *et al.* What if the devil is my guardian angel: ChatGPT as a case study of using chatbots in education. *Smart Learn Environ* 2023;10:15.
10. Lo CK. What is the impact of ChatGPT on education? A rapid review of the literature. *Educ Sci* 2023;13:410.
11. Cotton DR, Cotton PA, Shipway JR. Chatting and cheating: Ensuring academic integrity in the era of ChatGPT. *Innov Educ Teach Int* 2024;61:228-39.
12. O'Connor S. Open artificial intelligence platforms in nursing education: Tools for academic progress or abuse? *Nurse Educ Pract* 2023;66:103537.
13. Eysenbach G. The role of ChatGPT, generative language models, and artificial intelligence in medical education: A conversation with ChatGPT and a call for papers. *JMIR Med Educ* 2023;9:e46885.

14. Rudolph J, Tan S, Tan S. ChatGPT: Bullshit spewer or the end of traditional assessments in higher education? *J Appl Learn Teach* 2023;6:342-63.
15. Bozkurt A, Karadeniz A, Baneres D, Guerrero-Roldán AE, Rodríguez ME. Artificial intelligence and reflections from educational landscape. *Asian J Distance Educ* 2021;16:25-37.
16. Chan CKY, Hu W. Students' voices on generative AI: Perceptions, benefits, and concerns. *Educ Inf Technol* 2024;29:1-22.
17. Currie G, Hawk KE, Rohren E, Vial A, Klein R. Machine learning and deep learning in medical education. *Acad Radiol* 2019;26:1472-7.
18. Wartman SA, Combs CD. Reimagining medical education in the age of AI. *AMA J Ethics* 2019;21:E146-52.
19. Wartman SA, Combs CD. Medical education must move from the information age to the age of artificial intelligence. *Acad Med* 2018;93:1107-9.
20. Chan KS, Zary N. Applications and challenges of implementing Artificial Intelligence in medical education. *JMIR Med Educ* 2019;5:e13930.